UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,415	01/04/2002	David Baltimore	75723-ZB/JPW/GJG	7747
23432 COOPER & DU	7590 03/30/201 JNHAM, LLP	EXAMINER		
30 Rockefeller		HIBBERT, CATHERINE S		
20th Floor NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Communication Re: Appeal

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/037,415	BALTIMORE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CATHERINE HIBBERT	1636	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because:					
(a) it was not timely filed.					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).					
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.					
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The	ne appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$				
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR	41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.				
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed	by the Office on				
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable	for the reason(s) indicated below:				
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
(b) $\square$ the statutory fee for filing the brief has not be	en submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).				
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$					
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).					
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED becau	use:				
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.					
(b) 🛮 the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.					
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on					
(d) other: <u>The applicant's representative</u> , <u>Attorney Gary Gershik</u> , <u>confirmed in a phone conversation on 3/24/2011 that no reply has been sent and therefore the application is abandoned.</u>					
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this applica	ation:				
(a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.					
<ul> <li>(b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.</li> </ul>					
(c) is before the examiner for consideration.					
NANCY VOGEL/	Catherine Hibbert, Examiner AU1636				